

Prevalence and Risk Factors of Low Birth Weight in Fars Province, South of Iran, 2014

Alireza Mirahmadizadeh¹,
Ali Soleimani², Fariba Moradi³,
Elham Hesami⁴, Maryam
Kasraeian⁵, Hamed Delam⁶

¹Department of Epidemiology, School of Health, Non-Communicable Diseases Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran;

²Department of Public Health, Maragheh University of Medical Sciences, Maragheh, Iran;

³Office of Vice-Chancellor for Health Affairs, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran;

⁴MA in Midwifery Counseling, Family Health Unit, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran;

⁵Maternal-Fetal Medicine Research Centre, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran;

⁶Student Research Committee, Department of Epidemiology, School of Health, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

Correspondence:

Hamed Delam,
Student Research Committee,
Department of Epidemiology,
School of Health,
Shiraz University of Medical Sciences,
Shiraz, Iran

Tel: +98 917 2487412

Email: hameddelam8@yahoo.com

Received: 30 October 2016

Revised: 10 November 2016

Accepted: 3 December 2016

Abstract

Background: This study was conducted to assess the prevalence of low birth weight and its risk factors in Fars province, south of Iran, 2014.

Methods: In this cross-sectional study, we collected data of 3,600 neonates through multi-stage random sampling. At first, we divided the hospitals into two strata, private and public. Then by stratified random sampling, we selected the neonates from delivery list in each hospital. In univariate analysis, the variables in which the p-value was less than 0.2 were entered into multivariate logistic regression analysis model for adjusting. Two-sided $P < 0.05$ were statistically considered significant.

Result: The prevalence of low birth weight in Fars province was 8.7% (95% CI: 7.8%-9.7%). In term birth, factors such as mother's age >35 years, multiple birth and duration <24 months with previous pregnancy were risk factors of low birth weight ($P < 0.05$) and just the father's literacy was a protective factor for low birth weight.

Conclusion: The prevalence of low birth weight in Fars province was low in comparison to that of the world and other districts of Iran. But we should plan for reduction of low birth weight to achieve world health organization's goal. Variables of pregnancy interval of less than 2 years, multiple births, mother's age over 35 years and father's level of education could predict low birth weight of the neonates.

Please cite this article as: Mirahmadizadeh AR, Soleimani A, Moradi F, Hesami E, Kasraeian M, Delam H. Prevalence and Risk Factors of Low Birth Weight in Fars Province, South of Iran, 2014. *J Health Sci Surveillance Sys*. 2017;5(1):2-6.

Keywords: Low birth weight, Prevalence, Risk factors, Iran

Introduction

Low Birth Weight (LBW) is one of the most important factors in neonatal and post-neonatal mortality, and infant and childhood morbidity.^{1,2} According to the World Health Organization (WHO) definition, LBW is defined as the weight at birth less than 2500 grams (5.5 lb.). LBW continues to be an important public health problem globally and is related to a range of both short- and long-term consequences including low oxygen level at birth, inability to maintain body temperature, infection, breathing problems, and sudden infant syndrome.³ It has been shown that 60% to 80% of all neonatal deaths have been attributed to LBW⁴ in comparison to normal-birth weight infants. LBW increased the risk of death by 40

times and infants with very low birth weights (<1500 g) had a 200-fold greater risk of death.¹ Also, the risks of early growth retardation, infection, developmental delay and death during infancy and childhood for LBW infants are high.^{5,6}

Overall, it is estimated that 20.6 million infant are born with LBW in the world. The prevalence of LBW rates across the world is different. The highest and lowest prevalence of LBW is in South-Central Asia (27.1%) and Europe (6.4%), respectively.⁷ The percentage of LBW rates has increased in different parts of world.⁸ In the US, this indicator increased from 6.7% in 1984 to 7.8% in 2002,⁹ and in England and Wales LBW rate increased by 11% between 1993 and 2000.¹⁰ In Iran, the results of a systematic review

showed that the percentage of LBW was 7% and the trend was upward between 1999 and 2010.¹¹ LBW involves preterm neonates (defined as birth before 37 completed weeks of gestation), small for gestational age (SGA, defined as weight for gestation < 10th percentile) neonates at term, etc.¹² However, the consequences of LBW for preterm infants who are small for gestational age are worst. These three classes have their own subgroups, with individual elements related to several causative factors and long-term effects, and distributions across populations that depend on the prevalence of underlying causal factors.¹³⁻¹⁵ Clarifying and differentiating different categories and their subtypes of LBW is a fundamental and first step in preventing these problems.¹⁶⁻¹⁸

One of the goals of WHO is to attain a 30% decrease in the number of LBW infants by the year 2025.

This means that we should have 3% reduction in the prevalence of LBW per year between 2015 and 2025 and a reduction from approximately 20 million to about 14 million LBW infants.¹⁹

Considering the aim of WHO for reduction of LBW, monitoring the status of LBW is necessary. On the other hand, if we intend to prevent LBW, we

need to consider the explained classification of LBW. Therefore, this study was conducted to assess the prevalence of LBW and its risk factors in term and preterm infants in Fars province, southeast of Iran.

Materials and Methods

In this cross-sectional study, we collected demographic data, as well as pregnancy and delivery data from neonates who were born in hospitals of Fars province, south of Iran in 2014. The sample size was 900 newly born infants according to confidence 95%, precision 0.02 and prevalence 10%. As multistage random sampling was used, the design effect was considered 1.34 and based on sub-population analysis, it was multiplied by 3 and finally a total sample size of 3600 was determined. We listed all public and private hospitals by name. Then, through proportionate stratified random sampling method, we selected the hospitals within two strata. The questionnaire was validated by 3 independent specialists including a Neonatologist, Obstetricians and Epidemiologist. Kappa and intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) were in the acceptable range of 0.7-0.94. To check the internal consistency of the questionnaire, we measured Cronbach's alpha which was 0.8.

Table 1: Demographic and other characteristics of mothers and neonates in LBW and without LBW infants

| Variable | Category of variable | Birth weight N (%) | | P value |
|--|------------------------|--------------------|------------|---------|
| | | ≥2500 gram | <2500 gram | |
| Place of living | Rural | 1018 (92.9) | 78 (7.1) | 0.02 |
| | Urban | 2262 (90.6) | 236 (9.4) | |
| Sex of neonate | Boy | 1660 (91.7) | 151 (8.3) | 0.44 |
| | Girl | 1617 (90.9) | 161 (9.1) | |
| Age group of mother (year) | 18-35 | 2767 (91.9) | 244 (8.1) | 0.008 |
| | <18 | 77 (89.5) | 9 (10.5) | |
| | >35 | 427 (87.7) | 60 (12.3) | |
| Father job | Employee | 599 (88.9) | 75 (11.1) | 0.15 |
| | Labor | 514 (91.5) | 48 (8.5) | |
| | Free Job | 1861 (91.9) | 163 (8.1%) | |
| | Skilled Professional | 35 (89.7) | 4 (10.3) | |
| Mother job | Farmer | 269 (92.4) | 22 (7.6) | 0.18 |
| | Housewife | 3004 (91.5) | 278 (8.5) | |
| | Teacher and other jobs | 275 (89.3) | 33 (10.7) | |
| Interval with previous pregnancy | >2 | 1422 (93.0) | 107 (7.0) | 0.006 |
| | <2y | 475 (89.3) | 57 (10.7) | |
| Total income / month (tomans) (1 USD=3650 tomans) | <500,000 | 1255 (91.0) | 124 (9.0) | 0.06 |
| | 500,000-1,000,000 | 1589 (92.1) | 137 (7.9) | |
| | 1,000,000-2,000,000 | 356 (88.1) | 48 (11.9) | |
| | >2000,000 | 63 (94.0) | 4 (6.0) | |
| Type of pregnancy | Wanted | 2741 (91.1) | 267 (8.9) | 0.122 |
| | Unwanted | 515 (93.1) | 38 (6.9) | |
| Type of delivery | Normal | 1308 (92.5) | 106 (7.5) | 0.034 |
| | Section | 1953 (90.5) | 206 (9.5) | |
| Home violence | Yes | 110 (93.2) | 8 (6.8) | 0.45 |
| | No | 3124 (91.2) | 300 (8.8) | |
| Prenatal care | yes | 3153 | 293 (8.5) | 0.08 |
| | no | 116 (87.2) | 17 (12.8) | |
| Gestation age | Preterm (<37 week) | 432 (68.2) | 201 (31.8) | <0.0001 |
| | Term (≥37week) | 2783 (96.4) | 103 (3.6) | |

Statistical analysis: Univariate analysis was performed using Chi-square and T-student independent test. Multivariate logistic regression analysis model was used for adjusting and removing the confounding variables. For conducting logistic regression analysis, the variables which had a P-value less than 0.2 in univariate analysis were entered into the backward model and finally the variables which predicted LBW were identified. Two-sided $P < 0.05$ were considered to be statistically significant.

Results

Demographic data of the study participants are presented in Tables 1 and 2. The number of preterm delivery was 409 (12.7%). The prevalence of LBW in Fars province was 8.7% (95% CI: 7.8% 9.7%). The prevalence of LBW in preterm delivery was 31.8% (95% CI: 35.4% 28.1%) and in term birth it was 3.1% (95% CI: 4.3% 2.9%). Demographic and maternal characteristics of the neonates are shown in Tables 1 and 2. The result of univariate analysis showed that the variables significantly related to LBW in term birth included sex of the neonate, age of the mother, pregnancy interval, multiple delivery, father's level of education, and the number of mothers' referral to the health care centers. In preterm infants, the variables significantly related to LBW included place of residence, father's job, mother's job, pregnancy interval, multiple birth, and parents' level of education. According to Table 3, the results of

multivariate logistic regression indicated that pregnancy interval less than 2 years, multiple delivery, and mother's age over 35 years and father's level of education could predict the odds of LBW in term infants. Table 4 shows the results of multivariate logistic regression for preterm neonates in which variables of mother's employment, first pregnancy, pregnancy interval less than 2 years, and multiple birth could predict the odds of LBW in preterm infants.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence and some risk factors of LBW in Fars province, Iran. The study results showed that the prevalence of LBW was 8.7% and variables of pregnancy interval less than 2 years, multiple births, mother's age over 35 years and father's level of education were significantly associated with LBW.

The results of a study in Mashhad, Iran showed that the prevalence of LBW was 11.1% in 2010;²⁰ other studies in Iran reported the prevalence of LBW to be 8.6-13.6%.²¹⁻²⁴ The global prevalence of LBW is 15.5%, and the lowest rate of prevalence was seen in Europe (6.4%); also, the highest rate of prevalence was seen in central and south Asia (27.1%).¹⁸ In Africa, the prevalence of LBW was reported to be 22.5%.^{25,26} Therefore, the prevalence of LBW in Fars province is low in comparison with the world and other parts of Iran, but we should plan for reducing the prevalence

Table 2: Mean and standard deviation of some maternal variables in LBW and without LBW infants

| Variable | Birth weight (Mean±SD ^a) | | P value |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------|---------|
| | <2500 gram | ≥2500 gram | |
| Father education (official schooling) | 10.0±4.5 | 10.4±4.1 | 0.1 |
| Mother education (official schooling) | 10.3±4.4 | 10.4±4.3 | 0.7 |
| Number of pregnancy | 2.0±1.3 | 2.0±1.2 | 0.9 |
| Number of delivery | 1.9±1.1 | 1.8±1.0 | 0.4 |
| Number of abortion | 0.3±0.7 | 0.2±0.6 | 0.1 |
| Number of live children | 1.9±1.0 | 1.8±0.9 | 0.1 |
| Number of caes during in this pregnancy | 7.8±3.1 | 8.5±3.4 | 0.001 |

^aStandard Deviation

Table 3: Result of multivariate logistic regression for term neonate

| Variable | Odds Ratio | P value | 95% confidence interval |
|--|------------|---------|-------------------------|
| Interval with pervious pregnancy <2 year | 1.64 | 0.04 | 1.02-2.64 |
| Multiple pregnancies | 12.42 | <0.001 | 6.24-24.72 |
| Age of mother >35 | 1.73 | 0.02 | 1.11-2.68 |
| Education of father | 0.94 | <0.0001 | 0.91-0.98 |

Table 4: Results of multivariate logistic regression for preterm neonate

| Variable | Odds Ratio | P value | 95%confidence interval |
|--|------------|---------|------------------------|
| Employee mother | 2.21 | 0.04 | 1.04-4.68 |
| Interval with pervious pregnancy <2 year | 1.92 | 0.04 | 1.01-3.65 |
| first pregnancy | 1.79 | 0.02 | 1.09-2.95 |
| Multiple pregnancies | 8.22 | <0.001 | 4.64-14.56 |

of LBW in order to achieve WHO's goals.

In this study, father's education, independent from other risk factors, decreased the odds of LBW; therefore, one of the important risk factors for LBW is socioeconomic status and father's education is one of the significant elements of socioeconomic status which is a fundamental determinant of LBW.²⁷ In another study in Taiwan, one of the important factors effective in decreasing LBW was father's low education.²⁸ One of the factors that increased the risk of LBW is multiple pregnancies. Studies in Yazd, Iran,²¹ Japan²⁹ and Italy³⁰ support this finding. Multiple pregnancies may develop Intra-uterine growth restriction (IUGR). Results of one study³¹ showed that up to 25% of the twins had IUGR.³¹ Although the growth rate of twins is similar to that of single fetuses during the first two trimesters of pregnancy, mean growth for single and twin fetuses is 220–240g and 160–170g per week by week 34, respectively. The risk of LBW is even higher in pregnancies with a larger number of fetuses; in triplets, the main reason for this is the decreased availability of substances for each fetus.³²

One of the main risk factors for prematurity and low birth weight is short intervals between births (<2 years), as found in our study. This finding was reported in other studies.^{23,33,34} Short intervals between births may cause nutritional deficiencies and incomplete return of the maternal physiology to normal levels during a short period of time.³⁵ In developing countries, the interval from 3 to 6 months and in developed countries the interval from 1 to 2 years with previous pregnancy may lead to an increased tendency toward low birth weight and prematurity in subsequent pregnancies.³⁶ Other researchers reported an increased risk of LBW when the birth interval is shorter than 1 year.³⁶⁻³⁸ One of the limitations of this study was different qualities of recording pregnant mother's information which was out of control of the researcher.

Conclusion

The prevalence of LBW in Fars province is low in comparison to that of the world and other districts of Iran, but we should plan for reduction of the prevalence of LBW in this province. Factors that predict low birth weight in Fars province were pregnancy interval less than 2 years, multiple pregnancy, father's education and mother's age over 35 years. Almost all of these factors can be prevented by prenatal consultation and education.

Conflict of Interest: None declared.

References

1 Khatun S, Rahman M. Socio-economic determinants of low birth weight in Bangladesh: a multivariate approach. Bangladesh Medical Research Council

- Bulletin. 2008; 34(3):81-6.
- 2 Reidpath DD, Allotey P. Infant mortality rate as an indicator of population health. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*. 2003 May 1; 57(5):344-6.
- 3 World Health Organization. Comprehensive implementation plan on maternal, infant and young child nutrition.
- 4 Lawn JE, Cousens S, Zupan J, Lancet Neonatal Survival Steering Team. 4 million neonatal deaths: when? Where? Why? *The lancet*. 2005 Mar 11; 365(9462):891-900.
- 5 Ashworth A. Effects of intrauterine growth retardation on mortality and morbidity in infants and young children. *European journal of clinical nutrition*. 1998 Jan; 52:S34-41.
- 6 McCormick MC. The contribution of low birth weight to infant mortality and childhood morbidity. *New England journal of medicine*. 1985 Jan 10; 312(2):82-90.
- 7 Wardlaw TM, editor. *Low birthweight: country, regional and global estimates*. UNICEF; 2004.
- 8 Heron M, Sutton PD, Xu J, Ventura SJ, Strobino DM, Guyer B. Annual summary of vital statistics: 2007. *Pediatrics*. 2010 Jan 1; 125(1):4-15.
- 9 Arias E, MacDorman MF, Strobino DM, Guyer B. Annual summary of vital statistics—2002. *Pediatrics*. 2003 Dec 1; 112(6):1215-30.
- 10 Moser K, Li L, Power C. Social inequalities in low birth weight in England and Wales: trends and implications for future population health. *Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health*. 2003 Sep 1; 57(9):687-91.
- 11 Nazari F, Vaisi Z, Sayehmiri K, Vaisani Y, Esteki T. Prevalence and trends of low birth weight in Iran: A systematic review and. *Advances in Nursing & Midwifery*. 2013 Nov 30; 22(79).
- 12 Eiríksdóttir VH, Ásgeirsdóttir TL, Bjarnadóttir RI, Kaestner R, Cnattingius S, Valdimarsdóttir UA. Low birth weight, small for gestational age and preterm births before and after the economic collapse in Iceland: a population based cohort study. *PloS one*, 2013;8(12):e80499.
- 13 Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF, Iams JD, Romero R. Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. *The lancet*. 2008 Jan 11; 371(9606):75-84.
- 14 Risnes KR, Vatten LJ, Baker JL, Jameson K, Sovio U, Kajantie E, *et al*. Birthweight and mortality in adulthood: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *International journal of epidemiology*. 2011 Feb 10; 40(3):647-61.
- 15 Villar J, Abalos E, Carroli G, Giordano D, Wojdyla D, Piaggio G, *et al*. Heterogeneity of perinatal outcomes in the preterm delivery syndrome. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 2004 Jul 1; 104(1):78-87.
- 16 Goldenberg RL, Gravett MG, Iams J, Papageorghiou AT, Waller SA, Kramer M, *et al*. The preterm birth syndrome: issues to consider in creating a classification system. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*.

- 2012 Feb 29; 206(2):113-8.
- 17 Kramer MS, Papageorghiou A, Culhane J, Bhutta Z, Goldenberg RL, Gravett M, *et al.* Challenges in defining and classifying the preterm birth syndrome. *American journal of obstetrics and gynecology*. 2012 Feb 29; 206(2):108-12.
 - 18 World Health Organization. Guidelines on optimal feeding of low birth-weight infants in low-and middle-income countries. World Health Organization; 2011.
 - 19 Lawn JE, Blencowe H, Oza S, You D, Lee AC, Waiswa P, *et al.* Every Newborn: progress, priorities, and potential beyond survival. *The Lancet*. 2014 Jul 18; 384(9938):189-205.
 - 20 Davoudi N, Khezri M, Asgharpour M, Khatami SM, Hoseinpour M, Azarian AA. Prevalence and related factors of low birth weight in Mashhad, Iran. *Iranian Journal of Neonatology IJN*. 2012 Aug 1; 3(2):69-76.
 - 21 Golestan M, Akhavan Karbasi S, Fallah R. Prevalence and risk factors for low birth weight in Yazd, Iran. *Singapore medical journal*. 2011 Oct 1; 52(10):730-3.
 - 22 Karimian S, Mollamohammadi M, Jandaghi GR. Prevalence of low birth weight infants and its related factors in Qom delivery units, 2000. *Feyz Journals of Kashan University of Medical Sciences*. 2003 Jan 1; 7(3).
 - 23 Roudbari M, Yaghmaei M, Soheili M. Prevalence and risk factors of low-birth-weight infants in Zahedan, Islamic Republic of Iran. *Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal*. 2007 Aug; 13(4):838-45.
 - 24 Tootoonchi P. Low birth weight among newborn infants at Tehran hospitals. *Iranian Journal of Pediatrics*. 2007; 17(Suppl 2):186-92.
 - 25 Onyiriuka AN. Trends in incidence of delivery of low birth weight infants in Benin City, southern Nigeria. *The Nigerian postgraduate medical journal*. 2006 Sep; 13(3):189-94.
 - 26 Tema T. Prevalence and determinants of low birth weight in Jimma Zone, Southwest Ethiopia. *East African Medical Journal*. 2006 Jul 1; 83(7):366.
 - 27 Karimlou M, Sajadi H, Dejman M. Low birth weight and its association factors in Iran: according world health organization model. *Journal of Rehabilitation*. 2012 Jul 15; 13(2):75-87.
 - 28 Li CY, Sung FC. Socio-economic inequalities in low-birth weight, full-term babies from singleton pregnancies in Taiwan. *Public health*. 2008 Mar 31; 122(3):243-50.
 - 29 Takimoto H, Yokoyama T, Yoshiike N, Fukuoka H. Increase in low-birth-weight infants in Japan and associated risk factors, 1980–2000. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research*. 2005 Aug 1; 31(4):314-22.
 - 30 Nobile CG, Raffaele G, Altomare C, Pavia M. Influence of maternal and social factors as predictors of low birth weight in Italy. *BMC public health*. 2007 Aug 3; 7(1):192.
 - 31 de Bernabé JV, Soriano T, Albaladejo R, Juarranz M, Calle ME, Martínez D, *et al.* Risk factors for low birth weight: a review. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*. 2004 Sep 10; 116(1):3-15.
 - 32 Powers WF, Wampler NS. Further defining the risks confronting twins. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*. 1997; 57(1):112-3.
 - 33 Jafari F, Eftekhari H, Pourreza A, Mousavi J. Socio-economic and medical determinants of low birth weight in Iran: 20 years after establishment of a primary healthcare network. *Public health*. 2010 Mar 31; 124(3):153-8.
 - 34 Rafati S, Borna H, Akhavarad MB, Fallah N. Maternal determinants of giving birth to low-birth-weight neonates. *Archives of Iranian Medicine*. 2005 Oct; 8(4):277-81.
 - 35 Kramer MS. Determinants of low birth weight: methodological assessment and meta-analysis. *Bulletin of the world health organization*. 1987; 65(5):663.
 - 36 Ferraz EM, Gray RH, Fleming PL, Maia TM. Interpregnancy interval and low birth weight: findings from a case-control study. *American journal of epidemiology*. 1988 Nov 1; 128(5):1111-6.
 - 37 Zhu BP, Rolfs RT, Nangle BE, Horan JM. Effect of the interval between pregnancies on perinatal outcomes. *New England journal of medicine*. 1999 Feb 25; 340(8):589-94.
 - 38 Dafopoulos KC, Galazios GC, Tsikouras PN, Koutlaki NG, Liberis VA, Anastasiadis PG. Interpregnancy interval and the risk of preterm birth in Thrace, Greece. *European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology*. 2002 Jun 10; 103(1):14-7.