ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Exploring the Correlation between Different Types of Subjective Norms and High-Risk Behaviors: A Cross-Sectional Study on College Students in Shiraz, Iran (2021)

Leila Ghahremani¹, PhD; Atefeh Mohammadi², PhD student; Masoud Karimi¹, PhD, MPH; Yousef Asli Khalan³, MSc

¹Research Center for Health Sciences, Institute of Health, Department of Health Promotion, School of Health, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran ²Student Research Committee, School of Health, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran ³Department of Health Promotion, School of Health, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

Correspondence:

Masoud, Karimi, PhD; School of Health, Al-Zahra Street, Postal code: 14336-71348, Shiraz, Iran Tel: +98 71 37251001-6 Email: Karimeim@sums.ac.ir

Received: 04 April 2024 Revised: 12 May 2024 Accepted: 23 June 2024

Abstract

Background: Youth is a unique stage in everyone's life. During this period, individuals often engage in high-risk behaviors, including high-speed driving, smoking, sexual behaviors, alcohol consumption, physical inactivity, unhealthy eating habits, and violence. One of the factors influencing these high-risk behaviors is subjective norms. This study aimed to compare the correlation between two types of norms—descriptive norms (DN) and injunctive norms (IN)—with seven high-risk behaviors among college students.

Methods: This analytical cross-sectional study focused on the male and female students of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences. Participants were selected through multi-stage random sampling. An online researcher-made questionnaire, consisting of three parts: demographic information, questions about DN and IN, and questions about the frequency of behaviors, was sent to students. Descriptive analysis and bivariate Spearman's correlation analysis were performed using SPSS 24.

Results: 245 students (66.9% female) participated in the study. In the total population, regular exercise (r=0.179; P=0.005) and fast food (r=0.154; P=0.016) positively correlated with IN. Meanwhile, high-speed driving (r=0.148; P=0.021), drinking alcohol (r=0.198; P=0.002), and sexual relations outside of marriage (r=0.221; P=0.001) had a positive correlation with DN. No significant relationships were observed between aggression and smoking with either of the two types of subjective norms. **Conclusion:** The findings suggest that the two types of subjective norms do not affect health behaviors equally. Researchers should measure both descriptive and injunctive norms when using behavior change models that include the subjective norms construct.

Please cite this article as: Ghahremani L, Mohammadi A, Karimi M, Asli Khalan Y. Exploring the Correlation between Different Types of Subjective Norms and High-Risk Behaviors: A Cross-Sectional Study on College Students in Shiraz, Iran (2021). J Health Sci Surveillance Sys. 2024;12(3):336-343.

Keywords: Descriptive norms, Dangerous behaviors, Injunctive norms, Students, Norms

Introduction

Young adulthood, which begins at age 19, is one of the most unique stages of life. Health-related behaviors during this period can affect the health status of

individuals throughout their lives.² Health-risk behaviors, defined as behaviors that threaten individuals' and society's physical, mental, and social health,³ are highly prevalent in young adults. These behaviors include high-risk driving, smoking, sexual behaviors, alcohol

consumption, physical inactivity, unhealthy eating habits, and aggression.⁴⁻⁸ Young university students are not exceptions.⁹ Several factors, such as economic, social, and environmental changes, have significantly impacted high-risk behaviors in young people, especially.^{10, 11}

Similar to other countries in the world, health-risk behaviors among young people in Iran are worrying. Some evidence for this is presented below. High-speed driving is one of the most common driving violations in Iran, 12 with a higher level of morbidity and mortality than other types of driving accidents.^{12, 13} A metaanalysis of the studies conducted in Iran reported that the pooled prevalence of cigarette smoking at least once in the lifetime among college students was 19%.14 It is estimated that 7.8% of young people in Iran engage in unprotected sexual behaviors, and similarly, 7.8% of young people in Iran consume alcohol. ¹⁵ About 50% of people aged 18-24 have insufficient physical activity in Iran. 16 Mohammadbeigi et al. (2018) reported that 34% of students at a university in Qom, Iran, have consumed at least one type of fast food weekly.¹⁷ Finally, in a national survey conducted in 2019, moderate and severe aggressive behaviors were reported by 33.0% of the population, especially in younger ages.¹⁸

Behavior change theories and models suggest several factors as predictors of health behaviors. However, some of these models have paid less attention to the social context of human behaviors. 8, 19 One such factor is the impact of social norms on behavior. The social norm approach emphasizes two types of norms: a) injunctive norms and b) descriptive norms. Injunctive norms describe how people should act, feel, and think in a given situation. In this type of subjective norm, norms refer to individuals' beliefs regarding the approval or disapproval of the behavior by important others and how they feel committed to behave based on the views of these individuals. On the other hand, descriptive norms refer to the popularity of a specific behavior in the community. In other words, injunctive norms refer to social approval of the act, while descriptive norms refer to the popularity of that act.^{20, 21}

Studies that have examined the predictors of health-related behaviors²²⁻²⁴ have explored the relationship between perceived subjective norms and intentions or behaviors. However, to our knowledge, no study has compared the impact of the two types of subjective norms—injunctive and descriptive—on different health-related behaviors. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the association of each type of subjective norm with different health behaviors separately among Shiraz University of Medical Sciences students.

Methods

In this analytical cross-sectional study, the statistical population consisted of male and female Shiraz

University of Medical Sciences students. Based on the high-risk prevalence reported in different studies and considering α =0.05, d=0.05, and P=0.2, the sample size was approximately 250 subjects. Students who were studying for Bachelor of Sciences, Master of Sciences, and Professional Doctorate degrees at Shiraz University of Medical Sciences and consented to participate in the study were included. Since the questionnaire was distributed and completed online, the students expressed their consent to participate in the study through an electronic form.

Participants were selected using a multi-stage random sampling method. Initially, the number of students in each of the nine faculties (Health, Nutrition & Food Sciences, Medicine, Dentistry, Pharmacy, Paramedical Sciences, Nursing & Midwifery, Management & Medical Information Sciences, and Rehabilitation Sciences) who were studying in the three degrees above was determined. Then, the desired number of samples was determined in proportion to the number of students in each faculty. In each faculty, according to the desired sample size, one or two classes were selected randomly, and all of the students in each selected class were recruited for the study. Then, the class representatives sent an online questionnaire on Porsline (an online survey platform in Iran that allows for representative surveying) to students' WhatsApp groups. In total, 300 questionnaires were delivered to students, and 245 students responded to the questionnaires completely (response rate=82%). Students who responded to the questionnaire partially were excluded from the study. The study was approved by the local Ethics Committee of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran (code: IR.SUMS. REC.1398.1304).

The data collection tool was a researchermade questionnaire that consisted of three parts: first, demographic information, including gender, educational degree, and residence. No further information was requested from the students to ensure their anonymity. Second, questions about participants' perceived descriptive and injunctive subjective norms for each of the seven desired behaviors. The injunctive subjective norms for each behavior were measured with six items. Three items for normative belief (e.g., My parents /friends /teachers believe I 5 should not smoke/eat fast should 1 2 3 4 food/...), and three items for motivation to comply (e.g., The opinion of my parents /friends/teachers about smoking/eating fast food/.... is 5 4 3 2 1 is not important to me). The descriptive norm for each behavior was assessed with three questions (e.g., most of my friends/ people I know/ young people smoke/ eat fast food/, completely agree 5 4 3 2 1 Completely disagree). Finally, the third part of the questionnaire consisted of seven questions about the frequency of performing behaviors (regular exercise,

eating fast food, high-speed driving, aggression, smoking, drinking alcohol, Sexual relations outside of marriage), with a 3-point Likert scale (rarely/ sometimes/ often). The face and content validity of the questionnaire were confirmed using a panel of 10 experts and the calculation of Content Validity Ratio (CVR>0.75) and Content Validity Index (CVI>0.8). The internal reliability of the questionnaire was evaluated and confirmed by calculating Cronbach's alpha (>0.72). The external reliability of the questionnaire was confirmed by a test-retest on a pilot sample of 30 students (r=0.75, P=0.003). SPSS 24 software was used for data analysis. Descriptive analysis and bivariate correlation (Spearman's correlation coefficient) were used to evaluate the relationships between the mean scores of each type of subjective norm and their relevant behavior.

Results

A total of 245 students participated in the study, with a gender distribution of 33.1% male and 66.9% female. The frequency distribution of their degree and place of residence is presented in Table 1.

The study findings revealed that the most frequent high-risk behaviors were eating fast food and aggression (manifested as getting angry when dealing with problems). Additionally, more than 40 percent of the students were physically inactive. Table 2 presents

the frequency distributions of participants' responses to questions about the likelihood of performing different studied behaviors.

In Spearman's correlation analysis, it was revealed that, in the total population, exercising regularly (r=0.179; P=0.005) and eating fast food (r=0.154;P=0.016) had a positive correlation with injunctive subjective norms. Conversely, there were significant positive relationships between the descriptive subjective norm and high-speed driving (r=0.148, P=0.021), drinking alcohol (r=0.198; P=0.002), and sexual relations outside of marriage (r=0.221; P=0.001). However, no significant relationships were observed between other studied behaviors (aggression and smoking) and each of the two types of subjective norms in the total population. Table 3 presents the correlation coefficients between the studied behaviors and the two types of subjective norms among all participants, broken down by gender.

Discussion

Subjective norms can be powerful motivators of human behaviors.²⁵ Studies using the Theory of Planned Behavior, Theory of Reasoned Action, and Integrated Behavior Model have frequently assessed and reported subjective norms as an important predictor of health-related behaviors.²⁶⁻²⁹ However, few studies have distinguished between injunctive norms (IN) and descriptive norms (DN).³⁰⁻³³ Moreover, all of these

Table 1: Frequency distribution of participants' demographic characteristics

Variable		Male		Female	
		N	Percent	N	Percent
Total		81	33.1	164	66.9
Residence	Dormitory	52	64.2	100	61.0
	Rental student house	9	11.1	11	6.7
	With family in Shiraz	20	24.7	53	32.3
Degree	BSs (Bachelor of Science)	48	59.3	122	74.4
	MSc (Master of Science)	14	17.3	23	14.0
	PhD (Doctor of Philosophy)	19	23.5	19	11.6

 Table 2: The frequency distributions of probability of doing studied behaviors by participants

To what extent do you do each of the following behaviors?	Sex	Rarely N (%)	Sometimes N (%)	Often N (%)
Exercise regularly	Male	35(43.2)	29(35.8)	17(21.0)
	Female	67(40.8)	60(36.6)	37(22.6)
Eating fast foods	Male	13(16.0)	30(37.0)	38(47.0)
	Female	29(17.7)	68(41.5)	67(40.8)
High-speed driving	Male	43(53.0)	25(30.9)	13(16.1)
	Female	125(77.6)	20(12.4)	16(10.0)
Aggression	Male	18(22.3)	23(28.4)	40(49.3)
	Female	49(30.5)	46(28.6)	66(40.9)
Smoking	Male	80(98.8)	1(1.2)	0(0.0)
	Female	157(97.5)	3(1.9)	1(0.6)
Drinking alcohol	Male	80(98.8)	1(1.2)	0(0.0)
	Female	159(98.7)	2(1.3)	0(0.0)
Sexual relations outside of marriage	Male	81(100.0)	0(0.0)	0(0.0)
	Female	159(98.8)	2(1.2)	0(0.0)

Table 3: Correlation coefficients between study behaviors and two types of subjective norms

Behavior		Injunctive norms		Descriptive norms	
		Mean (SD)	R	Mean (SD)	R
Exercise regularly	Total	35.21 (13.28)	0.179**	8.23 (2.93)	0.039
	Male	33.59(12.57)	-0.049	8.27(2.31)	0.090
	Female	36.01(13.58)	0.286**	8.22(3.20)	0.022
Eating fast foods	Total	20.96 (8.63)	0.154*	11.35(3.74)	0.035
	Male	23.14(9.34)	0.250*	11.71(5.64)	0.002
	Female	19.84(8.07)	0.072	11.17(2.39)	0.074
High-speed driving	Total	20.01 (8.92)	0.104	8.64 (2.65)	0.148*
	Male	22.67(9.75)	0.060	9.41(2.89)	-0.081
	Female	18.70(8.28)	-0.056	8.27(2.61)	0.215*
Aggression	Total	18.15 (7.59)	-0.049	9.91 (2.19)	-0.075
	Male	18.90(9.03)	-0.088	10.46(2.16)	-0.139
	Female	17.78(6.77)	-0.001	9.64(2.17)	-0.135
Smoking	Total	18.71 (9.32)	-0.016	8.26 (2.55)	-0.041
	Male	20.23(10.19)	0.273*	9.46(2.73)	0.105
	Female	17.96(8.80)	-0.133	7.67(2.25)	-0.045
Drinking alcohol	Total	16.24 (8.42)	0.001	5.78 (2.21)	0198**
	Male	18.46(10.15)	-0.032	6.23(2.31)	0.072
	Female	15.14(7.22)	0.022	5.54(2.13)	0.305**
Sexual relations outside of marriage	Total	16.11 (7.14)	-0.061	5.89 (2.11)	0.221**
	Male	18.16(8.18)	-0.101	6.39(2.19)	029
	Female	15.09(6.35)	-0.029	5.65(2.02)	0.297**

^{*}Correlation is significant at a 0.05 level. **Correlation is significant at a 0.01 level.

studies have focused solely on one behavior. This study aimed to compare the association between each type of subjective norm and seven different health-related behaviors in a population of college students at Shiraz University of Medical Sciences.

The study showed that fast food consumption was college students' most common high-risk behavior. In a study in Kyrgyzstan, Pengpid et al. (2014) reported an unhealthy diet as the most common high-risk behavior among college students.34 Aggression was the second most common behavior among students in their study, consistent with ours. Similarly, Alimoradi et al. (2015) in Sanandaj, Iran, reported that more than 70% of students reported aggression when faced with problems.35 However, in the study of ZinatMotlagh et al. (2013), this prevalence rate was 32%.36 Physical inactivity was the third most common high-risk behavior among students in the study, with about 40 percent rarely engaging in regular physical activity and 36 percent exercising occasionally. This was consistent with the findings of Ziari et al. (2017) at Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Iran.³⁷

This study demonstrated that in the general population and among female students, regular physical activity significantly and positively correlated with Injunctive Norms (IN). In contrast, no significant relationship was observed with Descriptive Norms (DN). No significant relationship was observed between the two types of subjective norms in male students. These findings were consistent with the study by McLallen & Fishbein (2008).³⁸ In contrast to our study, Beville et al. (2017) in the United States showed that physical activity in male students had a

significant positive correlation with DN. In female students, significant associations were seen with both IN and DN, with DN having a stronger relationship with physical activity than IN.³² In another study by Hamilton & White (2008), IN had a stronger relationship than DN with adolescent physical activity in Australia.³⁹

According to the results of the present study, fast food consumption in the general population of students and male students had a significant positive correlation with IN, and no significant relationship was observed with DN. Similar to the findings of this study, Smith-McLallen & Fishbein (2018) reported that IN had a stronger correlation than DN with a healthy diet.³⁸ However, in the studies by Fudge (2013)⁴⁰ and Seo et al. (2011),⁴¹ DN was a better predictor of fastfood consumption. A study by Staunton et al. (2014) on university students in Australia showed that when there is a negative descriptive norm, exposure to a positive IN significantly reduces the intention to eat healthy foods. Conversely, when DN does not exist, exposure to IN does not affect it.⁴²

In contrast to the study by Pelsmaker & Janssens (2007), where high-risk driving had significant positive correlations with both Injunctive Norms (IN) and Descriptive Norms (DN), and these relationships were stronger with IN,⁴³ the present study found that high-speed driving had significant positive correlations with DN in the general population and among female students. Still, no significant relationship was seen with IN. This was consistent with the findings of Forward (2009).⁴⁴ Meanwhile, Cestac (2011) found

no significant relationship between high-risk driving and descriptive and injunctive norms.³³

In the present study, aggression had no significant correlation with the two types of IN and DN. However, some studies showed a significant positive correlation between verbal and physical violence in students with IN in the US⁴⁵ and Iran.³⁶ Dang & Liu (2020) showed that although both types of subjective norms were significantly correlated with adolescent aggression in China, this correlation was stronger for DN.⁴⁶

The present study demonstrated a significant positive correlation between smoking and IN, but only among male students. No significant relationship was observed with DN. These findings align with some other studies. Ocnversely, Nan & Zhao (2015) found in their study on non-smoking adolescents in the United States that IN (measured by perceived peer approval) had a significant positive correlation, and DN (measured as perceived prevalence of peer smoking) had a significant negative correlation with smoking intention. It

According to the results of the current study, sexual relations outside of marriage were significantly related to DN in the general population and among female students. A study by Nurmala et al. (2019) in Indonesia showed that subjective norms did not have a significant relationship with premarital sex.⁴⁷ However, Boer & Westhoff (2006) argue that subjective norms are crucial in non-observational behaviors such as sex.²⁶

In the present study, alcohol consumption in both the general population and among female students showed a significant correlation with DN. This finding is consistent with Cho (2006), who found a stronger DN relationship with alcohol consumption.⁴⁸ However, several studies have highlighted the more important role of IN in predicting alcohol consumption behavior.^{21, 27, 28, 49}

In summary, when comparing the findings of the present study with those of other studies, many contradictions and differences emerge in the relationship between the two types of subjective norms—injunctive and descriptive—and various high-risk behaviors. These differences could be attributed to cultural variations, target group populations, and tools. Moreover, none of the studies addressed all high-risk behaviors simultaneously within the same target population. Therefore, the results of this study underscore the need for more extensive studies with larger sample sizes and even cross-cultural comparisons.

The main strength of the present study was the comparison of correlations between two types of subjective norms and seven high-risk behaviors within a single population. However, the study had limitations, such as a small sample size. Another limitation was that the information collected was based

on self-reporting. Despite efforts to ensure student anonymity, including asking for minimal demographic information, using anonymous questionnaires, and assuring them that their information would remain confidential, students may have been cautious in reporting sensitive behaviors such as smoking, alcohol consumption, and sexual relations. This caution may be due to using an electronic questionnaire during pandemic conditions, potentially leading to an underestimation of the prevalence of these behaviors. Therefore, the correlation coefficients between these behaviors and the two types of subjective norms should be interpreted with caution.

Conclusion

In general, the results of the present study offer a valuable perspective to enhance our understanding of the impact of subjective norms on various health-related behaviors. The study results indicated that the two types of subjective norms do not affect health behaviors equally. It is recommended that similar studies be conducted in different populations with larger sample sizes. Researchers are advised to measure both descriptive and injunctive norms when utilizing behavior change models that include the subjective norms construct and to examine the effect of the subjective norms, either collectively or separately, on behaviors.

Acknowledgment

The Vice-Chancellor for Research and Technology, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran, supported this work. The authors would like to thank all the faculty deans and students who collaborated on this research.

Authors' Contribution

MK: Conceptualization, investigation, resources, data curation, writing the original draft; and supervision, AM: Conceptualization, investigation, resources, data curation, writing the original draft, methodology, and supervision. LGH: Methodology, resources, data; curation, validation, and editing. YA: Methodology, data curation, writing, reviewing. All authors reviewed the manuscript and approved the final version. They take full responsibility for the content and writing of this article.

Funding: No funding.

Conflict of Interest: None declared.

References

Hayes, G., Dowd, K. P., MacDonncha, C., & Donnelly, A. E. Tracking of physical activity and

- sedentary behavior from adolescence to young adulthood: a systematic literature review. Journal of Adolescent Health, 2019. 65(4), 446-454. doi:10.1016/j. jadohealth.2019.03.013.
- Park, M.J., et al., Adolescent and young adult health in the United States in the past decade: little improvement and young adults remain worse off than adolescents. Journal of Adolescent Health, 2014. 55(1): p. 3-16. doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2014.04.003.
- 3 Sohrabivafa, M., et al., Prevalence of risky behaviors and related factors among students of Dezful. Iranian journal of psychiatry, 2017. 12(3): p. 188. PMCID: PMC5640580; PMID: 29062370.
- 4 Assi, G.S., Dangerous driving propensity amongst Indian youth. Transportation research part F: traffic psychology and behaviour, 2018. 56: p. 444-452. doi: 10.1016/j.trf.2018.05.016.
- 5 Jawad, M., et al., The prevalence and trends of waterpipe tobacco smoking: A systematic review. PloS one, 2018. 13(2): p. e0192191. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0192191.
- 6 Scull, T.M., et al., The understudied half of undergraduates: risky sexual behaviors among community college students. Journal of American college health, 2020. 68(3): p. 302-312. doi: 10.1080/07448481.2018.1549554.
- 7 Shohani, M. and M. Rasouli, Comparison of health behaviors among students of Iranian medical and human sciences universities. Electronic physician, 2018. 10(5): p. 6836. PMCID: PMC6033120; PMID: 29997769.
- 8 Ghahremani L, Mousavi Z, Kaveh MH, Ghaem H. Self-care education programs based on a trans-theoretical model in women referring to health centers: breast self-examination behavior in Iran. Asian Pacific journal of cancer prevention: APJCP. 2016;17(12):5133. doi: 10.22034/APJCP.2016.17.12.5133. PMCID: PMC5454648; PMID: 28122446.
- 9 Faria, Y. D. O., Gandolfi, L., & Moura, L. B. A. Prevalence of risk behaviors in young university students. Acta Paulista de Enfermagem, 2014. 27, 591-595. dio: 10.1590/1982-0194201400096.
- 10 Nouruzi, K. and M. AMIRI, Relationship of high risk behaviors and negative life events with mental health of female students in high schools. Iranian journal of public health, 2016. 45(6): p. 833. PMCID: PMC5026846; PMID: 27648434.
- 11 Kabir, K., et al., Substance abuse behaviors among university freshmen in Iran: a latent class analysis. Epidemiology and health, 2018. 40. doi: 10.4178/epih. e2018030. PMCID: PMC6178368; PMID: 30056643.
- 12 Javadi, S.M.H., Tahmasebi, S., Azari Arghun, T., Edrisi, F., Soltani, E., Hashemi, S.A., Tajlili, A. and Rahmani, N, Investigation of the Psychosocial Factors Affecting High Risk Driving Behaviors in Adolescents in the City of Tehran, 2014. Health in Emergencies and Disasters, 2017. 3(1): p. 39-50. doi: 10.29252/nrip. hdq.3.1.39.

- 13 Lucidi, F., et al., Personality traits and attitudes toward traffic safety predict risky behavior across young, adult, and older drivers. Frontiers in psychology, 2019. 10: p. 536. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00536.
- 14 Karimi M, Kaveh MH, Morowatisharifabad MA. The viewpoints of urban high school male students, parents and teachers about cigarette smoking by adolescents: a social marketing-based qualitative study, Shiraz, Iran. Shiraz E-Medical Journal. 2020; 21(10). doi: https://doi. org/10.5812/semj.98541.
- 15 Poorolajal, J., et al., The top six risky behaviors among Iranian university students: a national survey. Journal of Public Health, 2019. 41(4): p. 788-797. doi: 10.1093/pubmed/fdy204.
- Mohebi F, M.B., Yoosefi M, Sheidaei A, Zokaei H, Damerchilu B, Mehregan A, Shahbal N, Rezaee K, Khezrian M, Dehmoosa AN., Physical activity profile of the Iranian population: STEPS survey, 2016. BMC public health, 2019. 19(1): p. 1-7. doi: 10.1186/s12889-019-7592-5.
- Mohammadbeigi A, A.A., Moshir E, Heidari H, Afrashteh S, Khazaei S, Ansari H., Fast food consumption and overweight/obesity prevalence in students and its association with general and abdominal obesity. Journal of preventive medicine and hygiene, 2018. 59(3). doi: 10.15167/2421-4248/jpmh2018.59.3.830. PMCID: PMC6196377; PMID: 30397681.
- Poorolajal, J., Ebrahimi, B., Rezapur-Shahkolai, F., Doosti-Irani, A., Alizadeh, M., Ahmadpoor, J., ... & Seydkhani, P. The epidemiology of aggression and associated factors among Iranian adult population: a national survey. Journal of Research in Health Sciences, 2020. 20(4), e00499. doi: 10.34172/jrhs.2020.34. PMCID: PMC8695783; PMID: 33424008.
- 19 Morris, J., et al., Theories and models of behaviour and behaviour change. Forest Research: Surrey, United Kingdom, 2012: p. 1-27.
- 20 Barati, M., et al., Assertiveness Skills Training Efficiency On College Students' persuasive Subjective Norms Against Substance Abuse. 2011.
- 21 Park, H.S., et al., Separating subjective norms, university descriptive and injunctive norms, and US descriptive and injunctive norms for drinking behavior intentions. Health communication, 2009. 24(8): p. 746-751. doi:10.1080/10410230903265912.
- 22 Bashirian, S., et al., Application of theory of planned behavior in predicting factors of substance abuse in adolescents. Journal of fasa university of medical sciences, 2012. 2(3): p. 156-162.
- 23 Potard, C., et al., Driving under the influence of alcohol and perceived invulnerability among young adults: An extension of the theory of planned behavior. Transportation research part F: traffic psychology and behaviour, 2018. 55: p. 38-46. doi: 10.1016/j. trf.2018.02.033.
- 24 Schoenaker, D.A., et al., Anti-smoking social norms

- are associated with increased cessation behaviours among lower and higher socioeconomic status smokers: A population-based cohort study. PLoS One, 2018. 13(12): p. e0208950. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0208950.
- Niemiec, R.M., et al., Does the impact of norms vary by type of norm and type of conservation behavior? A metaanalysis. Society & Natural Resources, 2020. 33(8): p. 1024-1040. doi: 10.1080/08941920.2020.1729912.
- 26 Boer, H. and Y. Westhoff, The role of positive and negative signaling communication by strong and weak ties in the shaping of safe sex subjective norms of adolescents in South Africa. Communication Theory, 2006. 16(1): p. 75-90. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2885.2006.00006.x.
- 27 Cooke, R., et al., How well does the theory of planned behaviour predict alcohol consumption? A systematic review and meta-analysis. Health psychology review, 2016. 10(2): p. 148-167. doi: 10.1080/17437199.2014.947547.
- 28 Dunleavy, V.O., An examination of descriptive and injunctive norm influence on intention to get drunk. Communication Quarterly, 2008. 56(4): p. 468-487. doi: 10.1080/01463370802451695.
- 29 Glanz, K., B.K. Rimer, and K. Viswanath, Health behavior: Theory, research, and practice. 2015: John Wiley & Sons.
- 30 Collins, R.L. and P.L. Ellickson, Integrating four theories of adolescent smoking. Substance use & misuse, 2004. 39(2): p. 179-209. doi: 10.1081/ JA-120028487.
- 31 Nan, X. and X. Zhao, The mediating role of perceived descriptive and injunctive norms in the effects of media messages on youth smoking. Journal of Health Communication, 2016. 21(1): p. 56-66. doi: 10.1080/10810730.2015.1023958.
- 32 Beville, J.M., et al., Gender differences in college leisure time physical activity: application of the theory of planned behavior and integrated behavioral model. Journal of American College Health, 2014. 62(3): p. 173-184. doi: 10.1080/07448481.2013.872648.
- 33 Cestac, J., F. Paran, and P. Delhomme, Young drivers' sensation seeking, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control and their roles in predicting speeding intention: How risk-taking motivations evolve with gender and driving experience. Safety science, 2011. 49(3): p. 424-432. doi: 10.1016/j.ssci.2010.10.007.
- 34 Pengpid, S., K. Peltzer, and E.M. Mirrakhimov, Prevalence of health risk behaviors and their associated factors among university students in Kyrgyzstan. International journal of adolescent medicine and health, 2014. 26(2): p. 175-185. doi: 10.1515/ijamh-2013-0516.
- 35 Alimoradi, K., et al., Predictive factors of aggressive behaviors in guidance and high school male students, based on the theory of planned behavior. ISMJ, 2016. 19(1): p. 106-118.
- 36 ZinatMotlagh, F., et al., Predicting aggression among male adolescents: an application of the theory of

- planned behavior. Health promotion perspectives, 2013. 3(2): p. 269. doi: 10.5681/hpp.2013.031. PMCID: PMC3963670; PMID: 24688977.
- 37 Ziari, A., et al., Physical activity; knowledge, attitudes, and practices of students living in Semnan university of medical sciences dormitories in Semnan, Iran. Middle East Journal of Rehabilitation and Health, 2017. 4(2). doi: 10.5812/mejrh.44743.
- 38 Smith-McLallen, A. and M. Fishbein, Predictors of intentions to perform six cancer-related behaviours: roles for injunctive and descriptive norms. Psychology, Health and Medicine, 2008. 13(4): p. 389-401.doi: 10.1080/13548500701842933.
- 39 Hamilton, K. and K.M. White, Extending the theory of planned behavior: the role of self and social influences in predicting adolescent regular moderate-to-vigorous physical activity. Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 2008. 30(1): p. 56-74. doi: 10.1123/jsep.30.1.56.
- 40 Fudge, J.L., Explaining adolescent behavior intention to consume fast food using the theory of planned behavior. 2013.
- 41 Seo, H.-s., S.-K. Lee, and S. Nam, Factors influencing fast food consumption behaviors of middle-school students in Seoul: an application of theory of planned behaviors. Nutrition research and practice, 2011. 5(2): p. 169-178. doi: 10.4162/nrp.2011.5.2.169. PMCID: PMC3085807; PMID: 21556232.
- 42 Staunton, M., et al., How negative descriptive norms for healthy eating undermine the effects of positive injunctive norms. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 2014. 44(4): p. 319-330. doi: 10.1111/jasp.12223.
- 43 De Pelsmacker, P. and W. Janssens, The effect of norms, attitudes and habits on speeding behavior: Scale development and model building and estimation. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 2007. 39(1): p. 6-15. doi: 10.1016/j.aap.2006.05.011.
- 44 Forward, S.E., The theory of planned behaviour: The role of descriptive norms and past behaviour in the prediction of drivers' intentions to violate. Transportation Research Part F: traffic psychology and behaviour, 2009. 12(3): p. 198-207. doi: 10.1016/j. trf.2008.12.002.
- 45 Roberto, A.J., et al., Adolescents' decisions about verbal and physical aggression: An application of the theory of reasoned action. Human Communication Research, 2003. 29(1): p. 135-147.doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2958.2003. tb00834.x.
- 46 Dang J, L.L., When peer norms work? Coherent groups facilitate normative influences on cyber aggression. Aggressive behavior., 2020. 46(6): p. 559-69. doi: 10.1002/ab.21920.
- 47 Nurmala, I., et al., Premarital sex behavior among adolescent: The influence of subjective norms and perceived behavioral control toward attitudes of high school student. Malaysian Journal Of Medicine And Health Sciences, 2019. 15(3): p. 110-116.

- 48 Cho, H., Influences of norm proximity and norm types on binge and non-binge drinkers: examining the underexamined aspects of social norms interventions on college campuses. Journal of Substance Use, 2006. 11(6): p. 417-429. doi: 10.1080/14659890600738982.
- 49 Neighbors, C., et al., The relative impact of injunctive norms on college student drinking: the role of reference group. Psychology of Addictive Behaviors, 2008. 22(4): p. 576. doi: 10.1037/a0013043.