Client Satisfaction with Urban Family Physician and Referral System in the South of Iran: A Repeated Cross-Sectional Study

Alireza Mirahmadizadeh¹, Maryam Marzban², Maryam Siadati³, Karam Tavani³, Abdolrasool Hemmati⁴

 ¹Non-Communicable Diseases
Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran;
²Clinical Research Development Center, "The Persian Gulf Martyrs", Bushehr
University of Medical Science, Bushehr, Iran;
³Family Physician Office, Shiraz
University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran;
⁴Vice chancellor of health, Shiraz
University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

Correspondence:

Maryam Marzban, Clinical Research Development Center, "The Persian Gulf Martyrs", Bushehr University of Medical Science, Bushehr, Iran Tel: +98 937 6300882 Email: marzbanh@gmail.com Revived: 19 December 2017 Revised: 10 April 2018 Accepted: 30 May 2018

Abstract

Background: Patient satisfaction is an integral component of service quality and obtaining feedback from patients about the quality of primary health care is the best way to extend more patient-centered goals to health care delivery. This study was conducted to measure the level of client satisfaction with Urban Family Physician and Referral System (UFPARS) program

Methods: This repeated cross-sectional study was done in Fars province, South of Iran, at two sections. Totally, 5901 patients in two sections (6 and 24 months after the UFPARS startup) were selected using multi-stage random sampling. The participants answered a self-administrated questionnaire. We measured the client satisfaction using 5-point Likert-scaled score and combined the questions; for each component of UFPARS, 6 satisfaction dimensions were made. We compared the participants' level of satisfaction in two parts, using t-test.

Results: Reliability was acceptable, and equal to 85% or more in all domains .In all components of UFPARS, the mean client satisfaction score was higher than 3 out of 5. The lowest client satisfaction scores were seen in the outpatient services. In three components of UFPARS including enrolment, family medicine and para-clinics, the mean satisfaction scores significantly decreased (P<0.001) between the two sections. But other components showed no significant change.

Conclusion: The level of satisfaction with UFPARS in Fars province was shown to be relatively medium to high. Low client satisfaction between the two sections could be a bad sign and we recommend that the problems should be tackled gradually. Although family physician program in Iran has some limitations, implementing this plan step by step can lead to a medical reform in Iran. We can develop better programs based on the comments from service recipients, and prompt the project and some program processes.

Please cite this article as:Mirahmadizadeh AR, Marzban M, Siadati M, Tavani K, Hemmati A. Client Satisfaction with Urban Family Physician and Referral System in the South of Iran: A Repeated Cross-Sectional Study . J Health Sci Surveillance Sys. 2017;5(2):72-78.

Keywords: Client satisfaction, Family physician, Referral system, Iran

Introduction

Family medicine, formerly family practice, is devoted to comprehensive health care for people of all ages; the specialist is named a family physician. It is a division of primary health care that provides systematic and comprehensive health care for individuals and families across all ages and genders.¹

World Health Organization (WHO) emphasized Primary Health Care (PHC), and indicated that most

health investments must be allocated to PHC to improve health outcome.² Family Physician Plan is a starting point of health system reforms to achieve faster and easier service to patients.³

Although in recent years, the government and policymakers of Islamic Republic of Iran tried hard to develop primary health care;⁴ some indices of health care such as infant mortality rate⁵ could be improved, with increasing urbanization, and consequences of its unhealthy lifestyle, non-communicable diseases become major public health challenges in Iran.⁶ On the other hand, general practitioners in Iran have a central role in basic health care, but they have not enough scientific credentials from the patients' point of view. For these reasons, Ministry of Health and Medical Education tried to train and empower general practitioners instead of family physician specialists to overcome these problems.7 In 2004, Family Physician Plan was established in Iran in towns with population of less than 20,000 and rural areas to implement PHC services for the entire population, promote public health, reduce the risk of diseases, increase public accessibility to health services, reduce out of pocket health care expenditure, implement basic health insurance on the basis of FP and improve the referral system.8 After rural areas, in some parts of Iran, Urban Family Physician and Referral System (UFPARS) was launched as a pilot in 2011 to see if it is successful, it will also be utilized in other parts of Iran following revisions.9

Like every public health service, UFPARS should be accountable to society. The outcome of the health care system can be evaluated in various ways such as its impact on health, economy, and satisfaction dimensions, and so on. Client's satisfaction is defined as the level at which the user's expectations of the services are met.¹⁰ Measuring client satisfaction is the most effective index in all steps of designing, performing and evaluating all health care programs.

The concept of satisfaction is very complicated and have complicated systems. It is influenced by cultural, sociodemographic, cognitive and effective components.¹¹ Patient satisfaction is used for comparing different health care programs, evaluating the quality of care and identifying the aspects of a service which needs to be changed, and identifying the disenrollment consumers.¹²

Although one study assessed the cost-efficiency of the implementation of family physician in rural areas in Fars province,¹³ client satisfaction is an important issue in health care¹⁴ and could affect compliance with medical advice, service utilization, and the physicianpatient relationship.^{15, 16} In previous studies done in Fars province, it was shown that implementation of family physician plan can increase the health care costs.¹³ In the United States, despite sending more money per capita on medical care than any other country in the world, no effective system has been developed to improve public health and prevent diseases. In this place, family medicine tries to provide better health care services and lower costs for patients and communities.¹⁷

Patients' safety and satisfaction is a cornerstone of Iranian health care system; however, there is not much reliable data available on these in Iranian health system.¹⁸ The new plan which is called "Health Sector Evolution", tends to decrease out-of-pocket payments, improve the quality of hospital care, increase the patients' satisfaction, reform financing, extend the insurance coverage in health care, and increase the number of natural delivery (child birth) in the country.¹⁹ The level of satisfaction with family physician was relatively favorable in Iran, but with increasing expectations of people, maintaining this status is necessary.²⁰

Because we do not have precise information on client satisfaction of family physicians, we conducted a study on client satisfaction with UFPARS in Fars province, south of Iran, in two parts, six months and 2 years after its implementation.

Materials and Methods

Designing Questionnaire

The Delphi method relying on a panel of experts was used to design the first draft of the questionnaire. The questionnaire was validated in a pilot study, we used confirmatory factorial analysis to eliminate some irrelevant questions and determine convergent and discriminant validity. On the other hand, the composite reliability of the questions was evaluated by AMOS software, version 7. At the end, content validity of the questionnaire was confirmed by the panel of experts. Reliability was acceptable, and equal to 85% or more in all domains. A five-point Likert-scale of satisfaction spectrum (very low, low, without opinion, high and very high) was used. For measuring the patient's satisfaction, we assessed the cost of each component as well as 6 main dimensions of client satisfaction including:21, 22

• Waiting time for service delivery by the service provider

- Respect, kindness and intimacy
- Privacy and confidentiality

■ Appropriate environment including (light, temperature, cleanliness, and seating)

■ Service provider's attention to hear the problem of the patient, diagnose, recommend and provide necessary training during service delivery

The outcome of the treatment and care

Therefore, we combined these dimensions and reported them in 6 important components of UFPARS including: 1- satisfaction with the registration and enrollment process, 2- satisfaction with family physician performance, 3- satisfaction with Para-clinic services (laboratory, radiology and etc.), 4- satisfaction with pharmacist's performance, 5- satisfaction with specialist physician and referral to them, and 6- satisfaction with the outpatient care services during closing time of family physician services.

Data Collection

This was a repeated cross-sectional study conducted in 2 parts of 6 and 24 months after startup of UFPARS (2014 and 2016) in Fars province, south of Iran. The sample size in the first and second parts was 2132 and 4300 participants, respectively. Multi-stage random sampling was done; at first Fars province was divided into 4 regions and among each region 4 cities, as clusters, were randomly selected. Within each city, among all public health centers, 2 or 3 centers were randomly selected as the start point of household sampling. For data collection, participants were visited by door-to-door interviews. In this household survey, participants were selected by Kish grid or Kish selection table which is a method for selecting the members within a household to be interviewed.³ Before asking questions, verbal informed consent was obtained from each participant. Meanwhile, there were no ethical issues and conflict of interest. The questionnaires were trained by an expert staff in a workshop and during the phase of the pilot study. Inclusion criteria were residence in Fars province and coverage by any insurance institute in UFPARS. Exclusion criteria were lack of willingness to participate in this study.

Data Analysis

We simply performed descriptive statistics with two assumptions: 1. Participants were selected through random sampling method in both cross-sectional studies 2. We assumed that there was no any period effect and mass education intervention; therefore, in these periods all conditions were stable and did not change by external factors. Thus, by giving weight to the questions, and combining them, we made the 6 dimensions as defined above. The lowest and highest patient satisfaction score were 1 to 5. Finally, using t-test, we evaluated and compared the mean participant satisfaction in two parts by SPSS IBM for windows, version 20.

Results

Descriptive Statistics

More than 48% of the participants were male and the mean age of the participants was 40.8 ± 14.9 and 36.2 ± 12.2 years in the two parts, respectively. Most of the participants (68%) were married. (Table 1)

Average family size was 3.82 ± 1.47 and the most frequent household number was 4 (31%). The most basic health insurance was social security (55%). The most important reason for withdrawal from participating in the first section was lack of insurance coverage (31%) or being under the coverage of insurance companies which were not covered by UFPARS (29%) (Figure 1). The most important reason that induced people to participate in UFPARS was reduced health care cost (30%). The most important place for patient registration in UFPARS was private sector (42%) and public sector (41%), respectively. Most people had become familiar with UFPARS on TV and Radio (50%).

Patient Satisfaction

The most satisfactory services user belonged to pharmacies (90%); on the other hand, the least one belonged to the referral system to specialists (35%). The most and least satisfactory services were pharmacy (90%) and referral system to specialists (35%). As shown in Table 2, in almost all questions of 6 dimensions of the patients' satisfaction were high or very high (Table 2). In the two sections, the mean patient satisfaction was higher than 3, expect for the

	Characteristics	Cross-section 1	Cross-section 2
Sex	Male	688 (43.8%)	2153(50.1%)
	Female	896 (56.2%)	2147 (49.9%)
Age (year)	Mean±SD	40.8±14.9	36.2±12.2
	Median	39	36
	Range	84 (1-85)	82 (2-84)
Marital status	Single	272 (17.4%)	1219 (28.3%)
	Married	1216 (76.8%)	2814 (65.4%)
	Widowed	64 (4.9%)	179 (4.2%)
	Divorce	14 (0.9%)	88 (2%)

Table 1: Frequency of demographic characteristics of the partients who referred to the health care center in Fars province in 2014 & 2016

Components	Questions	Very low	Low	No opinion	High	Very higl
Enrolment	Satisfaction of planning for selecting the location for registration and access to their UFPARS	16	19	11	38	16
	Satisfaction of guidance of personnel involved in registration for UFPARS	10	13	11	46	19
	Satisfaction of the completion of registry forms, easy understanding the concept of registry form	11	16	15	41	18
amily	Satisfaction with selecting family physician	16	19	5	47	13
Physician	Satisfaction with respectful behavior of family physician personnel	8	15	8	53	17
	Satisfaction with place of receiving services for family physician	9	16	9	50	15
	Satisfaction with waiting time for receiving family physician care	15	16	5	49	15
	Satisfaction with careful treatment and hearing patients problems and intimacy with family physician	9	15	4	52	20
	Satisfaction with family physician attention, time he or she	10	19	5	47	19
	spend for visit, examination and giving history					
	Satisfaction with keeping privacy by family physician	8	10	8	53	20
	Satisfaction with family physician diagnosis diseases, giving advice and the quality of training	11	20	8	46	15
	Overall satisfaction with family physician (taking into account all dimensions)	2	8	21	46	23
ara-clinic sues	Satisfaction with the admission process and waiting time for Para-clinic Issues	12	18	11	49	10
	Satisfaction with respectful behavior of Para-clinic Issues personnel	5	12	9	62	13
	Satisfaction with place of receiving services for Para-clinic Issues	5	15	11	58	12
	Satisfaction with keeping privacy by Para-clinic Issues	5	11	9	62	13
:	Satisfaction with cost pay for receiving Para-clinic Issues services	22	20	8	11	39
	Satisfaction with Para-clinic Issues facility	11	19	9	49	11
	Overall satisfaction with Para-clinic Issues (taking into account all dimensions)	2	7	24	53	14
harmacy	Satisfaction with waiting time for receiving Drugs	13	24	11	44	8
	Satisfaction with respectful behavior of pharmacy personnel	6	14	11	57	11
	Satisfaction with pharmacist or pharmacy staff advice and explain about drugs	17	23	9	42	9
	Satisfaction with the availability of prescribed physician in pharmacies	11	20	9	47	13
	Satisfaction with the cost of drugs	33	28	8	25	7
	Overall satisfaction with pharmacy (taking into account all dimensions)	2	11	34	43	9
pecialist	Satisfaction with selecting Specialist physician	8	13	7	51	21
	Satisfaction with waiting time for receiving Specialist physician care	19	20	6	42	13
	Satisfaction with careful treatment and hearing patients problems and intimacy with Specialist M.	9	15	8	51	17
	Satisfaction with place of receiving services for Specialist physician	12	16	10	47	15
	Satisfaction with keeping privacy by Specialist physician	8	12	12	54	14
	Satisfaction with cost of Specialist physician services	20	15	9	41	15
	Overall satisfaction with Specialist physician (taking into account all dimensions)	1	8	25	48	18
Outpatient care services	Satisfaction with waiting time for receiving outpatient care services	29	24	6	32	8
	Satisfaction with careful treatment and hearing patients problems and intimacy with outpatient care services	20	21	10	38	10
	Satisfaction with place of receiving services for outpatient care services	18	21	10	41	9
	Satisfaction with keeping privacy by outpatient care services	15	18	12	44	11
	Satisfaction with cost of outpatient care services	36	23	8	26	7
	Overall satisfaction with Outpatient care services (taking into	9	19	27	35	10

*Urban Family Physician and Referral System



Figure 1: Frequency (%) of reasons for no enrollment in UFPARS in first cross section study

patient's satisfaction in outpatient services. In three components of UFPARS including enrolment, family physician and para-clinic facilities, mean satisfaction significantly decreased (P<0.001) between the two sections; other dimensions showed no significant difference (Table 3).

Discussion

This study is the first attempt to evaluate the impact of the ongoing reforms in the health system, especially UFPARS from the clients' viewpoints, in Iran. Patient satisfaction, as one of the most important outcomes of health care, with their family physician was very high and comparable to other countries. The level of satisfaction with UFPARS in Iran was shown to be relatively high. Patient satisfaction with family practice care in some European countries is high and our results are comparable to them.²³⁻²⁵

Based on a previous study which was done in Mazandaran province in 2014, overall satisfaction rate was 59.2% and the rate of dissatisfaction among urban residents was 1.5 times higher than the rural residents. This could be due to greater expectation and lack of direct access to their preferred physicians.²⁶

Although in a previous study in Fars province, it was shown that Family Physician Plan has led to more regular service delivery and has increased the patients' referral to health care services,¹³ we can conclude that patient satisfaction with health care is relatively moderate due to decreased out of pocket costs and increased satisfaction. The first study was done 6 months after the startup of UFPARS. This investigation is important for different levels of health policy makers. If the urban family physician plan costs a lot, it causes the patients to receive health care services more rapidly and conveniently.

Iran encounters several challenges to further development of its healthcare system. UFPARS can help reduce disparities and health inequities among Iranian population. Many policymakers are interested in knowing the reason although recent health care programs have had important gains, they have not responsive to the comprehensive vision of primary health care.²⁷

We did not have any mass intervention or mass education between the two sections; therefore, we did not need to correct or adjust our results for period effect. A little decrease in patient satisfaction is acceptable, because at the beginning of the UFPARS

Components	Cross-section [†]	\mathbf{N}^{\diamond}	Mean [‡] ±SD	Changing	P value ^{††}
Enrolment	1	1601	3.4±1.1	\downarrow	< 0.001
	2	4300	3.1±0.9		
Family Physician	1	1227	3.5±1.0	\downarrow	< 0.001
	2	4300	3.2±0.9		
Para-clinic Issues	1	513	3.4±0.9	\downarrow	< 0.001
	2	2238	3.1±0.8		
Pharmacy	1	1091	3.0±0.9	\leftrightarrow	> 0.05
	2	3895	3.0±0.8		
Specialist Medicine	1	433	3.4±0.9	\leftrightarrow	> 0.05
	2	1176	3.4±0.8		
Outpatient care services	1	673	2.9±1.1	\leftrightarrow	> 0.05
	2	1185	2.9±0.8		

[†]1=6 months after implementation, 2=24 months after implementation; \diamond Eligible and comparable participants; [‡] Total score=5; ^{††} T-test

program, people had a better attitude about it, but gradually they understood its real or unreal problems.

In this study, partial and total satisfaction showed that most of the participants were relatively satisfied. Although satisfaction decreased in some dimensions in the second survey, in most dimensions, this little decrease and significant association could be due to the large sample size. Satisfaction with respectful behavior of the personnel, privacy and confidentiality, space and environment, and other dimensions are high. Satisfaction with family medicine and specialist's medicine was acceptable.

Satisfaction with waiting time for receiving outpatient care services was low, but the waiting time for another part was in the medium or high levels. By comparing our results with those of previous studies, we know that 20% of the respondents were unsatisfied with waiting in centers; this finding was similar.¹⁸ Based on previous findings, long waiting time was a factor contributing to patients' dissatisfaction.¹⁹

Studies showed that if hospital costs of health care are high, then patient satisfaction is low.¹³ In our study, satisfaction was low due to outpatient services; on the other hand, the setting for referring the patients in closing time is not proper. Therefore, we suggest establishing emergency health care to provide their services in non-working time.

Evaluation of the policies requires a longer time²⁵ since evaluation of this program is not completely acceptable at this situation; also, some problems will arise in the late periods of running the program. One of the most important problems of UFPARS is that patients should refer to family physician from 8 a.m. to 12 a.m., but recently this problem has been solved by changeable working time. This change improves the patient satisfaction.

This project has been done by Shiraz University of Medical Sciences and naturally, as with any new program, it has been faced with some criticisms and opponents. Satisfaction should be comprehensive, done by a person or organization which is impartial and even outside the observer; it should be neither too early, nor too late; should be done freely so that there is no bias in selection of questions and samples (randomly and carefully selected); the analysis, interpretation, and dissemination of the results should be done by an impartial and neutral individual. It has been done by some methodologists and epidemiologists who are affiliated with Shiraz University of Medical Sciences. We suggest an independent group to repeat this project. Most data were obtained from interviews, collected over time, in different places and from people at different levels; therefore, between and within interviewer bias has probably happened. In other words, it would be better to run a cohort study on a specified population to precisely measure satisfaction changes.

One of the strengths of this study was that it had a future view to show some problems of using family physician plan in Fars province. Despite some limitations, we can conclude health care reforms in Iran, especially family physician, had a positive impact on health care quality. Given the limited number of studies on UFPARS in Iran, our findings might be helpful for other settings as a scenario. Moreover, the study was performed on the population of patients who attended family practice during the time study was done. It is unclear how the participation of nonusers of family practice could alter these finding.

We suggest electronic registering system for the first visits, referrals, and prescription systems. Also, we suggest family physicians to spend more time for their patients. Combining health care services is most useful for rapid delivery of health care services with high quality. Providing and approving clinical guidelines for clarifying family physician tasks and functions seem to be necessary. Finally, low client satisfaction between the two sections could be a bad sign and we recommend such problems should be resolved gradually. It is essential to focus on functional quality which can bring enormous benefits for our system and can make the organization and this system more pleasant for patients.

Conclusion

Although family physician program in Iran has had some limitations, implementing this plan step by step can lead to a reform in Iran. An important issue in every health program is client satisfaction. In our study, client satisfaction was relatively low to moderate. The results of this study can help the UFPARS managers to improve it. We can develop better programs based on the comments from service recipients and prompt the project and some program processes. We know that family physician plan should be expected to be implemented gradually and not in a limited time, and successful use of this plan may take a long time. Therefore, we suggest that managers of UFPARS consider some domains of satisfaction that decline the satisfaction level and improve them.

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to express sincere gratitude and appreciation to Shiraz University of Medical Sciences (SUMS), for providing the financial support of this external evaluation. Finally, we would like to thank family physicians who permitted us to conduct this study in their clinics.

Conflict of Interest: None declared.

References

- 1 Al-Gelban, K.S., Y.M. Al-Khaldi, and M.M. Diab, Family Medicine: A Practical Approach. 2010: Trafford Publishing.
- 2 Huber, M. and E. Orosz, Health expenditure trends in OECD countries, 1990-2001. Health care financing review, 2002. 25(1): p. 1-22.
- 3 Stange, K.C., et al., The value of a family physician. The Journal of family practice, 1998. 46(5): p. 363-368.
- 4 Shadpour, K., Primary health care networks in the Islamic Republic of Iran. 2000.
- 5 MIRAHMADIZADEH, A., et al., 20-Year Period (1990-2009) of Infant Mortality Rate in Rural Area of Fars Province, South Iran: A Time-Series Study. 2012.
- 6 Takian, A., L. Doshmangir, and A. Rashidian, Implementing family physician programme in rural Iran: exploring the role of an existing primary health care network. Family practice, 2013: p. cmt025.
- 7 LeBaron, S.W. and S.H. Schultz, Family medicine in Iran: the birth of a new specialty. FAMILY MEDICINE-KANSAS CITY-, 2005. 37(7): p. 502.
- 8 Takian, A., A. Rashidian, and M.J. Kabir, Expediency and coincidence in re-engineering a health system: an interpretive approach to formation of family medicine in Iran. Health policy and planning, 2011. 26(2): p. 163-173.
- 9 Ashrafi Z, E.H., Sarafha J, The relationship between hemodialysis adequacy and quality of life and spiritual wellbeing in hemodialysis patients. Journal of Clinical Nursing and Midwifery, 2014. 3(3): p. 44-51 [In Persian].
- 10 Sans-Corrales, M., et al., Family medicine attributes related to satisfaction, health and costs. Family practice, 2006. 23(3): p. 308-316.
- 11 Aharony, L. and S. Strasser, Patient satisfaction: what we know about and what we still need to explore. Medical care review, 1993. 50(1): p. 49-79.
- 12 Jackson, J.L., J. Chamberlin, and K. Kroenke, Predictors of patient satisfaction. Social science & medicine, 2001. 52(4): p. 609-620.
- 13 Hatam, N., et al., Cost efficiency of the family physician plan in fars province, southern iran. Iranian journal of medical sciences, 2012. 37(4): p. 253.
- 14 Howard, M., et al., Patient satisfaction with care for urgent health problems: a survey of family practice patients. The Annals of Family Medicine, 2007. 5(5):

p. 419-424.

- 15 Kahan, B. and M. Goodstadt, Continuous quality improvement and health promotion: can CQI lead to better outcomes? Health Promotion International, 1999. 14(1): p. 83-91.
- 16 Hjortdahl, P. and E. Laerum, Continuity of care in general practice: effect on patient satisfaction. Bmj, 1992. 304(6837): p. 1287-1290.
- 17 Blankfield, R.P., et al., Continuity of care in a family practice residency program. Impact on physician satisfaction. The Journal of family practice, 1990. 31(1): p. 69-73.
- 18 Hutchison, B., et al., Patient satisfaction and quality of care in walk-in clinics, family practices and emergency departments: the Ontario Walk-In Clinic Study. Canadian Medical Association Journal, 2003. 168(8): p. 977-983.
- 19 Aldana, J.M., H. Piechulek, and A. Al-Sabir, Client satisfaction and quality of health care in rural Bangladesh. Bulletin of the World Health Organization, 2001. 79(6:(p. 512-517.
- 20 Borhaninejad, V., et al., Satisfaction of Service Recipients of Family Physician Program in Kerman, 2011. Journal of Health and Development, 2015. 4(1): p. 1-9.
- 21 Laferriere, R., Client satisfaction with home health care nursing. Journal of Community Health Nursing, 1993. 10(2): p. 67-76.
- 22 NAKHAEI, A.N., et al., Assessing the Costeffectiveness of contraceptive methods in Shiraz. 2001.
- 23 Heyland, D.K., et al., Family satisfaction with care in the intensive care unit: Results of a multiple center study*. Critical care medicine, 2002. 30(7): p. 1413-1418.
- 24 Yaman, H. and M. Ozen, Satisfaction with family medicine training in Turkey: survey of residents. Croatian medical journal, 2002. 43(1): p. 54-57.
- 25 Kersnik, J., An evaluation of patient satisfaction with family practice care in Slovenia. International Journal for Quality in Health Care, 2000. 12(2): p. 143-147.
- 26 Fararouie, M., et al., Satisfaction levels with family physician services: a pilot national health programme in the Islamic Republic of Iran. Eastern Mediterranean Health Journal, 2017. 23(4): p. 267.
- 27 Declaration of International Conference on Primary Health Care, Alma-Ata, USSR, 6–12 September 1978. Geneva: World Health Organization, 1978.